Division of Clinical Laboratory Devices -- an update

DCLD Summary

- **♦**People
- **♦**Workload
- **◆**Performance
- ◆Implementation of Least Burdensome Program
- ◆Strategic Plan

People

- ◆ New Deputy Commissioner Dr. Crawford
- ◆ Seasoned Chief Counsel Dan Troy
- ♦ New Associate Director Science Norris Alderson
- ◆ Seasoned Center Director Dr. Feigal
- ♦ New Center Organization Linda Kahan and Lillian Gill

People

- **♦** 60 FTEs
- **♦** New Genetics Hires
- ◆ No Growth

People -- Programs

- **♦** Premarket Review
- **◆** CLIA categorization
- ◆ Pharmacogenomics working group
- **◆** Bioterrorism initiatives
- **♦** TPLC initiative

510(k) Program

- ♦ Heart of workload
- ♦ 650 submissions
- ◆ Review times average 65 days (target 90)

Decreasing Workload

- ◆ Replacement reagent policy
- ◆ ASR policy
- ◆ Clarification in modification policy
- **♦** Business environment

PMA Program

- ◆ Variable workload
- ◆ Approved approximately 6
- ◆ Meeting all review targets

Protocol Review (pre IDE) Program

- ◆ Currently projected at 90/year
- ◆ High octane stuff
- ♦ 60 day reviews
- **♦** Multiple interactions

CLIA Review Program

- ◆ Active more than 2000 determinations/year
- ◆ Remains program in evolution

FDAMA

- ◆ Improved market access
- ◆ Least burdensome pathways
- ◆ Premarket to postmarket balance
- ◆ Increased interaction with industry

- ◆ Appropriate questions
- ◆ Appropriate thresholds
- ◆ Non-academic pursuits

- ◆ Matter of law
- ◆ Matter of policy
- ◆ Matter of spirit

- **◆** Two Guidance Document
- ◆ Systems Approach ensure appropriate process applied to use of regulatory tools
- **♦** Review Guidance

- ◆ Review changes are profound
- ◆ Parallel genetics initiative
- ◆ Shift to data summaries
- ◆ Shift to more focused labeling review
- ◆ Shift to use of clinical literature
- ◆ Shift to postmarket analysis

Strategic Plan -- Goals

- ◆ Mission related
- ◆ Total Product Life Cycle
- **♦** Knowledge Management

Total Product Life Cycle

- ◆ Cradle to grave
- ◆ Seamless oversight

Intellectual Appeal

- **♦** Premarket review limitation
- ◆ Outdated law
- ◆ Snapshot approach
- ◆ Impact of scale-up
- ◆ Impact of wide-use

Intellectual Appeal

- **◆** Postmarket review strengths
- **◆** Quality system regulations
- ◆ Require quality assessment
- ◆ Require process controls
- ◆ Require corrective actions
- ◆ Unrealized potential

Intellectual Appeal

- **♦** Need for harmonization
- ◆ IVD directive
- ◆ ISO labeling initiative
- ◆ Growing regulatory program in Canada

TPLC IVD Pilot

- ◆ Ideal target
- ◆ Stereotyped review issues
- ◆ Cadre of like minded scientists
- ◆ Engaged communities interested in partnering

Goals

- ◆ Increased transparency
- ◆ Expedited technology transfer
- ◆ Provide support and improvements application of ASRs
- ◆ Improve surveillance and use of surveillance

Core Mission

- ◆ Promote public health
- ◆ Apply good science
- ◆ Evolving program
- ◆ Relevant, focused, safe and effective